CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

JAN 2 1 2009

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING

[Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a) (6);

18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]

PEYNAL DO ORTIZ,

Defendant.

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of Cal. for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), The Court finds that:

A. (Y) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the nature of the alleged modalizes.

1	
2	
3	
4	and/or
5	B. ( The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6	clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7	a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8	released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9	
10	on: The nature of the alleged violations.
11	
12	
13	
14	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15	the further revocation proceedings.
16	
17	Dated: $1/21/09$
18	$\bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j$
19	Calan Ceblul
20	UNITES STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	CARLA M. WOEHRLE
23	
24	·.
25	
26	
27	
28	